

h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/240,265	01/29/1999	. MARK E. PETERS	CR9-98-095	7166
46320 7590 02/05/2008 CAREY RODRIGUEZ GREENBERG & PAUL LLP			EXAMINER	
STEVEN M. G	09/240,265 01/29/1999 MARK E. PETERS	CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, FL 33487		S CR9-98-095 7166  EXAMINER  CALLAHAN, PAUL E	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/240,265	PETERS, MARK E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Callahan	2137
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-2 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		· ·
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-12 and 14-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be corrected as a constant of the should be considered.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 09/240,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 were pending in the instant application at the time of the issuance of the previous Office Action, mailed August 22, 2007. By the latest response from the applicant, filed November 23, 2007, new claims 13-16 are added. Therefore claims 1-16 are pending and have been examined.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed November 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive. The Applicant argues that the addition of language to claim 1 of a certificate stored on a computer-readable "storage" medium overcomes the rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 USC Sec. 101. However, the Examiner maintains that claims 1-3, and new claim 13, are still directed towards non-statutory subject matter and that the rejection of the claims should be maintained. The certificate set forth in claim 1 represents a mere compilation of data, and not computer program code that causes a change of state in a processor when executed. Therefore the claim is directed towards mere functional descriptive material and is not rendered patentable by its storage on a computer-readable storage medium. The rejection of the claims is set forth in more detail infra.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Changes have been made via the latest amendment to the language of claim 1 by the addition of language directed towards storage of the certificate on a computer readable storage medium. However it is not clear from the language of the claims how the X.509 certificate can be considered as executable code capable of causing a change in a computer apparatus. The X.509 certificate is in fact mere data that another program may act upon when it is read out from the memory medium. Claims 1-3 and 13 claim a mere arrangement of data. As such, embodying the data on a computer-readable storage medium would not make the claims statutory. See MPEP 706.03(a) and, especially, 2106 IV B 1 (b).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-12 and 14-16 are allowed.

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/240,265

Art Unit: 2137

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise, can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/240,265

Art Unit: 2137

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Callahan/ January 30, 2008

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2,3,08